UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,408	12/12/2005	Kauko O. Laakkonen	915-001.074	5474
	7590 05/29/2009 RE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP		EXAMINER	
BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5			CRAWFORD, JACINTA M	
	755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224 MONROE, CT 06468		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2628	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/560,408	LAAKKONEN, KAUKO O.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JACINTA CRAWFORD	2628				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ma	arch 2009.					
· <u> </u>	action is non-final.					
<i>i</i>	/ 					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
•						
· · · ·)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-9 and 11-14</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-9 and 11-14</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

Application/Control Number: 10/560,408

Art Unit: 2628

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 13, 2009 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 4, 6-9, 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Valentaten et al. (US 5,250,940) in view of Ikeda (US 6,734,863).

Application/Control Number: 10/560,408

Art Unit: 2628

As to claim 1, Valentaten et al. disclose an apparatus for connection between a display device and a processor controlling the display device, comprising: a memory bus for connection to the processor (Figure 2 notes the bus (RAM bus) to processor, 14), and an adaptor circuit (Figure 2, RAM arbiter, 18) for connection between the memory bus (RAM bus) and a display device connection interface (Figure 2, video circuit, 16), wherein the memory bus provides the adaptor circuit a plurality of control signal lines for writing or reading data to or from the display device, and a plurality of data signal lines carrying the data (column 3, lines 51 thru column 4, lines 22 notes various signals generated and provided throughout the system, including signals to read and write to and from RAM memory) wherein the adaptor circuit provides the display device connection interface a read signal line, a write signal line, an address signal line indicating where on the display device the data should be read or written, and a plurality of data signal lines carrying the data (column 3, lines 51 thru column 4, lines 22 notes various signals generated and provided throughout the system, including signals to read and write to and from RAM memory, where the adapter circuit (RAM arbiter) provides the display device connection interface (video circuit) access to RAM memory).

Page 3

Art Unit: 2628

Valentaten et al. differs from the invention defined in claim 1 in that Valentaten et al. do not expressly disclose <u>said display device connection interface being</u> integrated in the display device, and <u>wherein the adaptor circuit is configured</u> to convert an update instruction from the processor into an instruction to the <u>display device in a timing order required by the display device for updating only a required part of the display device.</u>

Ikeda discloses <u>said display device connection interface being</u> integrated in the display device (Figure 5, driving control circuit, 28 of display apparatus, 3, which is a detailed description of display apparatus of Figure 1), and <u>wherein</u> the adaptor circuit is configured to convert an update instruction from the processor into an instruction to the display device in a timing order required by the display device for updating only a required part of the display device (Figure 1, display controller, 2 is considered an "adapter circuit," column 5, lines 23-40 notes converting instructions from host CPU 1 (processor) for display apparatus 3; the refresh control circuit, 10, to control timing and updating). Ikeda also discloses wherein the adaptor circuit provides the display device connection interface a read signal line, a write signal line, an address signal line indicating where on the display device the data should be read or written, and a plurality of data signal lines carrying the data (column 6, lines 48-67).

Art Unit: 2628

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Valentaten et al.'s adapter circuit with Ikeda's method of converting update instructions to appropriately and accurately output data on a display device.

As to claim 4, Valentaten modified with Ikeda disclose the apparatus wherein the memory bus is configured to realize signaling between the processor and a memory unit, as well as between the processor and the display device connection interface (Valentaten, column 3, lines 56-64 and column 4, lines 23-37).

As to claim 6, Valentaten modified with Ikeda do not expressly disclose the apparatus wherein the adapter circuit comprises one or more gates, said gates are configured to match respective signals between the memory bus and the display device connection interface.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate logic gates as logic gates are an integral part of digital circuitry.

As to claim 7, Valentaten modified with Ikeda disclose the apparatus comprising an interference protection block connected between the adapter

circuit and the display device connection interface, said interference protection block being configured to prevent electric interferences among signals (The instant specification describes this as known).

Claims 8 and 12 are similar in scope to claim 1, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 9 is similar in scope to claim 4, and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

As to claim 11, Valentaten modified with Ikeda disclose the memory bus and the display device connection interface to be connected by glue logics together in order to achieve communication there between (Valentaten, Figure 2).

Claims 13 and 14 are similar in scope to claim 6, and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Valentaten et al. (US 5,250,940) in view of Ikeda (US 6,734,863) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Leung (US 6,760,444).

Art Unit: 2628

Claim 2 requires the display device connection interface to be a medium speed screen interface.

While Valentaten et al. is used to a display device connection, it is noted that Valentaten does not express a medium speed screen interface. However, Leung teaches the use of low medium and high speed interfaces at column 9, lines 36-39, 56-67 and column 10, lines 8-10. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to further modify the system to have a medium speed screen interface to facilitate proper establish communication among the devices.

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Valentaten et al. (US 5,250,940) in view of Ikeda (US 6,734,863) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Niimura et al. (US 7,116,304).

Claim 3 further requires the memory bus connected to the processor to be a non-synchronized memory bus. While Valentaten et al. is used to teach the memory bus connected to the processor, it is noted that Valentaten does not express a non-synchronized memory bus. Niimura et al. teach this at the abstract. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to further modify the system to have a non-synchronized

Application/Control Number: 10/560,408

Art Unit: 2628

memory bus to provide a bus that is not bandwidth restricted and to reduce the overall power consumption of the system.

Page 8

Response to Arguments

have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicants argue on pages 6-9 of the amendment filed March 13, 2009 that the prior art cited does not teach all of the limitations of the independent claims, more specifically, "wherein the adaptor circuit is configured to convert an update instruction from the processor into an instruction to the display device in a timing order required by the display device for updating only a required part of the display device." However, Ikeda is used to teach this newly amended limitation, and is used in combination with Valentaten et al. to teach all of the limitations of the claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JACINTA CRAWFORD whose telephone number is (571)270-1539. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00a.m. - 5:00p.m. EST.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,408 Page 9

Art Unit: 2628

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kee Tung can be reached on (571) 272-7794. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jacinta Crawford/ Examiner, Art Unit 2628

/Kee M Tung/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2628